

SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATION



i-lab.aegean.gr

Towards LLM-based semantic analysis of historical legal documents Tania Litaina, Andreas Soularidis, Georgios Bouchouras, Konstantinos Kotis and Evangelia Kavakli



Investigate the capabilities and limitations of LLMs in semantically analyzing legal documents through experimentation with the two most prevalent LLMs i.e., ChatGPT-3.5 and Gemini/Bard.





Identifying number of entities	Creating diagram of entities & their relations
Identifying number of related relationships	Combining information from different contracts

1 st Phase	2 nd Phase	3 rd Phase	4 th Phase	Research Methodology
ChatGPT-3.5 Contract undestanding	ChatGPT-3.5 / Bard	ChatGPT-3.5	ChatGPT-3.5 / Bard	 A four-phased experimental approach is followed
Entities identification			Type of contract identification	Used LLMs: ChatGPT-3.5 and Gemini/Bard
Family tree generation	Relationship Identification	Legal text understanding	Contract's object identification	Notarial Documents:
ERD generation	Relationship identification	ERD generation	Total number of parties	Contracts in both English and Greek languages (obtained from
Contracts combination	(combining contracts)	Future contracts prediction	Number of parties/category	the Web)
Story creation			Number of family relationships	A set of 17 handwritten contracts of the 19 th century which
Future contracts prediction				platform for text recognition and transcription)
Notarial documents written in English language	Notarial document written in Greek and English language	Notarial documents written in purist Greek language	Notarial documents written in purist Greek language	https://github.com/AndreasSoularidis/LLM historical legal documents

Results

- ChatGPT-3.5 excels in named entity recognition and generating fictional stories using entities from contracts, but struggles with tasks like retrieving information from external documents and predicting future legal acts.
- ChatGPT-3.5 exhibits weaknesses in identifying entity relationships, particularly in Greek contracts, and struggles with understanding the concept of the number of relationships among entities and documents in Greek.
- Gemini/Bard outperforms ChatGPT-3.5 in distinguishing entity relationships and achieving 100% accuracy in identifying the type and subject of contracts.



- Gemini/Bard struggles with analyzing English documents, except for family relationships, where it performs well.
- Gemini/Bard surpasses ChatGPT-3.5 in recognizing the total number of involved entities and their categories, achieving higher precision and recall.
- Gemini/Bard demonstrates superior capability in understanding the concept of relationships among entities.
 Both LLMs identified one out of three family relationships, but Gemini/Bard identified an additional potential relationship.
- Gemini/Bard shows remarkable proficiency in semantic analysis of Greek documents.

Future Work

- Experimentation with more LLMs (e.g., ChatGPT-4, Claude, etc.
- Semantic analysis and comparison of contracts in multiple languages involving human experts familiar with the languages in question.

Conclusions

- LLMs have the ability to understand, semantically analyze, and extract information from transcribed Greek notarial documents.
- ▶ Their limitations in identifying relationships between entities require further investigation.
- Their difficulty in understanding the Greek language, and particularly the purist one, constitute a challenge for the LLMs.

Please address any further questions at

soularidis@aegean.gr / cti23010@ct.aegean.gr / kavakli@aegean.gr

http://www.ct.aegean.gr/En/En_Index